REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed May 13, 2008, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-15,

17, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,768,720

(Kamstra) and U.S. Patent No. 6,917,587 (Sarkar). Further, the Examiner objected to claim 16

as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but stated that this claim would be allowable if

re-written in independent form. Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claim 16

includes patentable subject matter.

By this response, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 9, and 12 to include

subject matter from allowable claim 16. Applicant has cancelled claim 16. By making these

amendments, Applicant does not acquiesce in any of the claim rejections. However, Applicant

has made the claim amendments without prejudice in order to expedite prosecution. Applicant

reserves the right to pursue the rejected claims in a continuing application.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that each of independent claims 1, 9, and 12

are allowable. And since each of claims 2-4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-15, 17, and 18 depends from an

allowable independent claim, Applicant submits that claims 2-4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-15, 17, and 18

Thus, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and are also allowable.

allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner wish to discuss this case with the

undersigned, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (312) 913-3351.

Respectfully submitted,

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN

HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Date: July 14, 2008

By:

/Omar D. Galaria/

Omar D. Galaria

Reg. No. 59,207

7